United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,230	10/31/2003	Min Wan	2000.615 USD2	2286
31846 INTERVET IN	7590 04/23/200° C.		EXAM	INER
PATENT DEPARTMENT			LUKTON, DAVID	
PO BOX 318 MILLSBORO,	DE 19966-0318		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
	-		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/698,230	WAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Lukton	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	to outcoolen foo
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ong r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 33-38.	·		*
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24-32 and 39-43</u> .		•	•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate and the date of the state	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property of the pr	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the reconsideration has been considered by the reconsideration has been considered	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allower	nce hecause:
<u>-</u>		. Condition for allowar	5556436.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment to claim 24 would require a search for references teaching the proposed limitations.

DAVID LUKTON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER